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INTRODUCTION

In 2015, the Veterans Civic Health Index (VCHI) became the first report of its kind to examine the civic health of America’s veteran population. The report provided tangible evidence that military service positively affects civic health and that veterans return home to strengthen their communities. The 2015 VCHI found that veterans volunteer, engage with local governments and community organizations, vote, and help neighbors, all at rates higher than their non-veteran counterparts. The VCHI has been an important tool for inserting civic health into discussions about veteran reintegration, informing conversations about the veteran population in general, and demonstrating that investing in our country’s veterans is good for our communities.

In 2016, the VCHI reexamines veterans’ civic health indicators and how the veteran population affects the overall civic health of our country. It also begins to explore civic engagement in Baltimore, Maryland—a local case study that sets the stage for future examination of veteran civic health trends in various American cities. Finally, this report includes additional recommendations that are specific and relevant to the 2016 election cycle.

Unless otherwise cited, all findings presented in this report are based on data analysis of the 2014 Census Current Population Survey (CPS), Volunteering Supplement, and Voting Supplement.

Goals of this Report

- Foster understanding about the civic strengths of the veteran population
- Empower veterans to lead a resurgence of civic engagement across the country
- Inspire non-veterans to engage in their communities alongside veterans
- Begin to explore local trends in veteran civic health in American cities
- Provide specific recommendations related to the report findings and the 2016 election cycle

Why Civic Health Matters

Civic health is a community’s capacity to work together to solve problems. It is determined by how well diverse groups of residents cooperate among themselves and with government to address public issues and strengthen their communities. We measure civic health through engagement indicators like volunteer service, voting, political participation, community involvement, and social capital.

Civic health is proven to be an essential component of individual well-being, strong communities, and healthy democracy. However, for decades, evidence has shown downward trends in engagement and an overall decline in community across America. Political scientist Robert Putnam found that Americans were steadily involved in civic life during the first two-thirds of the 20th century but became increasingly less involved in the final third. He theorized that television and consumerism have played key roles in the decline of social connectedness and subsequently the decline in overall social capital. More recently, author Marc Dunkelman suggested that this same decline in social connectedness is to blame for our “broken” political system and historically high levels of partisanship.

With growing frequency, the topic of civic engagement is surfacing on the front pages of newspapers across the country. Since the last publication of this report, America has witnessed powerful protests, mounting social and political movements, innovative forms of online engagement, and increased public dialogue about democracy and citizenship. In such an environment, informed examinations of civic health are as important as ever. Now is the time for Americans to think deeply, act meaningfully, and talk openly with their fellow citizens about the future and health of our country.
A FOCUS ON VETERANS

As one of America’s greatest assets, the veteran population plays a crucial role in revitalizing civic engagement and strengthening communities nationwide. Due to their training, skill sets, and proclivity toward service, veterans are unique models for small- and large-scale problem solving as well as widespread cooperation.

Each year, about a quarter-million service members take off the uniform but retain years of leadership development, team building experience, and a fundamental commitment to the greater good. Veterans in every generation prove to be civic assets. Over the years, their leadership has provided solutions to some of our nation’s most pressing challenges. That is no different now. Today’s veterans are starting businesses, nonprofit organizations, and community groups; they are improving emergency preparedness, combatting homelessness, and curbing youth violence; they are pursuing higher education, helping their neighbors, and engaging with public officials; they are becoming teachers, doctors, artists, elected officials. You name it—veterans are filling vital roles in all of our communities.

Yet, we still do not see veterans’ strengths and opportunities highlighted in most national conversations (though there is evidence of progress). Instead, the dialogue often focuses on the perceived challenges associated with military service and veteran reintegration. While some of these discussions are necessary and helpful, many are formed upon misconceptions or limited analysis of complex data.

This report aims to emphasize opportunities presented by America’s veteran population, provide a data-informed platform through which to encourage thoughtful discussions, and offer innovative solutions that empower veterans to address our nation’s most pressing challenges.

By bringing civic health to the forefront of conversations and strategies around veteran reintegration, we can empower veterans and strengthen communities across the nation. The majority of veterans return home seeking new opportunities to continue serving their communities and country. Simultaneously, our communities are in desperate need of leaders, team builders, and problem solvers willing to tackle local problems. When we recognize this connection between the veteran population and community needs, veteran reintegration becomes an enormous opportunity for America.
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“On Sept 11, 2001, I lost my brother Jimmy in the World Trade Center, and although I was devastated by his loss, a part of me misses the weeks that followed because as a country, we were never more engaged with our community, we were never more united and we were never more inspired to serve and lead. While community engagement and leadership may have seemed to dissipate over the last 15 years, Team Red, White & Blue says, don’t believe it. Over 1,835 Eagle leaders & veterans in 200 communities across the country have led 39,686 community engagements to produce 193,877 positive interactions between veterans and their community. Veterans simply won’t sit on the sideline and wait for inspiration, connection and unity. They will be the inspiration, connection and unity this world needs.”

-Joe Quinn, U.S. Army Veteran, Director of Leadership for Team Red, White & Blue
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Findings from this report affirm that high levels of civic engagement among veterans are consistent, national trends. At a time when overall civic engagement is on the decline in America, the veteran population is uniquely equipped to disrupt trends of civic disengagement and to lead a resurgence of community across the country.

Veterans demonstrate higher levels of engagement than non-veterans on all civic health indicators available in the latest data. For the second consecutive year, the data reveal that veterans are more likely than non-veterans to vote, contact public officials, volunteer, give to charity, work with neighbors to fix problems in the community, and attend public meetings.

Additionally, female veterans—the fastest growing segment of the veteran population—are outpacing their male veteran and female non-veteran counterparts in areas such as higher education and median household income.

Veterans are continuing to return home to strengthen our communities—they are thinking globally and acting locally as leaders and civic assets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Veteran and Non-Veteran Civic Health</th>
<th>Veterans</th>
<th>Non-Veterans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average number of hours volunteered</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote in local elections (always or sometimes)</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
<td>57.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended a public meeting</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worked with neighbors to fix problems in the community</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gave to charity ($25 or more)</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contacted a public official</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are 21.8 million veterans in the United States—about 9% of the adult population. The average age of all veterans is 62 years (the average age of all non-veterans is 48 years). Veterans are more likely than non-veterans to be married, to have completed high school, and to have progressed to college. Veterans are also more likely to be employed and have higher levels of income than non-veterans.¹⁴

Racially and ethnically, the veteran population closely reflects the American population, with the exception of Asian Americans who are underrepresented by 1.2%. The veteran population also remains heavily male, although females are the fastest growing population of veterans and now total almost 11% of all veterans.

### Young Veterans vs. Older Veterans

In this report, veterans and non-veterans aged 20-49 are referred to as “young veterans” and “young non-veterans,” respectively. Veterans and non-veterans aged 50 and over are referred to as “older veterans” and “older non-veterans,” respectively. Segmenting by age in this manner allows for clearer analysis of diversity in population and engagement activity between generations. Of all veterans, 26.8% are considered “young” by this description (with an average age of 38 years), and 73.2% are considered “older” (with an average age of 68 years).

All young veterans are members of the all-volunteer force, meaning they volunteered to serve in the military. Of all young veterans, 44.3% are post-9/11 veterans, meaning their term of service included the period after September 11, 2001. One of the biggest differences between young veterans and older veterans is demographics—young veterans are far more likely to be female and are much more racially and ethnically diverse than older veterans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Young Veterans</th>
<th>Older Veterans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White or Caucasian</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one race</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>.4%</td>
<td>.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Snapshot: Female Veterans

Since the establishment of the all-volunteer force in 1973, the number of women serving in the military has risen dramatically. Today, females are the fastest growing population of veterans. Just 7.3% of older veterans are female, but 20.1% of young veterans are female. The majority of female veterans served after September 2001 or in peacetime. Compared to their male counterparts, female veterans are a younger and more diverse population, with higher representations of African Americans and Hispanics in particular.

Female veterans significantly outpace their peers—both male veterans and female non-veterans—in higher education enrollment and attainment. For example, 45.3% of female veterans progress to college, compared to 36.4% of male veterans, and 31.9% of female non-veterans. Female veterans also have higher median household incomes than female non-veterans. Additionally, a lower percentage of female veterans are uninsured, have no income, and are in poverty than female non-veterans.
“Those years in the military helped you develop skills that uniquely set you apart... Through your service, you learned what it means to be a real leader – how to work with all kinds of people in all kinds of situations...some of you learned how to load weapons onto multibillion-dollar aircrafts, or how to steer the biggest fleet in the world, or how to perform the most complex, high-tech analyses using the most cutting-edge technologies. And all of you learned how to build a team, and lead others under pressure, and complete any mission in front of you, no matter what it takes.”

-Michelle Obama at Women’s History Month Event Honoring Women Veterans
VETERANS CIVIC ENGAGEMENT: National Trends

From the 2015 VCHI, we know that military service positively affects civic health. By virtue of their military experience alone, veterans are likely to demonstrate high levels of civic engagement throughout their lifetimes. Indeed, over the last century, veterans from all generations have outpaced the general population in their habits of service and civic engagement.

Below, we examine the newest civic health data available since the previous publication of this report. For the second consecutive year, we see that veterans continue to strengthen the civic health of communities at higher rates than their non-veteran peers.

Civic Indicators

Service

Service is an important indicator of civic health because volunteers greatly impact the health and well-being of communities. Not only do volunteers deliver critical services, but the act of volunteering has been shown to increase other civic health indicators like trust in others, community involvement, and political participation.

From 2013 to 2014, we saw a slight increase in volunteering among all Americans. Still, young veterans exhibit the highest rate of volunteering, at 26.3%. Older veterans also continue to volunteer the most hours annually.

There is an important distinction between rate of volunteering and number of hours volunteered annually. While it is true that young veterans are the most likely to volunteer, the rate of volunteering among all Americans is relatively comparable; on average 25.5% of the population volunteers. However, looking at the number of hours those volunteers serve allows us to better assess the depth of engagement.

As shown below, veterans who volunteer dedicate more time to doing so—and by large margins. Compared to non-veterans, veterans serve an average 40 additional hours per year—the equivalent of a full workweek. Older veterans (although not more likely to volunteer than their non-veteran peers) volunteer a whopping 186 hours annually—the most time of any group. These distinctions show that when veterans volunteer, they tend to be more highly involved in their service, as compared to non-veterans.

26.3% of young veterans volunteer in their communities—a higher rate than all civilians as well as older veterans.

Older veterans volunteer 186 hours annually—the most time of any group.

Chart 1. Veteran and Non-Veteran Average Annual Volunteer Hours
Habitat for Humanity

In 2012, Habitat for Humanity International launched the Veterans Build program to provide volunteer engagement, homeownership, and employment opportunities to U.S. veterans, military service members, and their families. Habitat affiliates across the country are building relationships with their veteran and military communities through the organization’s work. Habitat has a long history of not just serving veterans but serving alongside veterans and recognizes the unique value of veteran volunteers. “Veterans are individuals who inspire people from all around the world. They have built schools, playgrounds and orphanages for people in the most remote locations. When they return home they are still the world’s greatest volunteers. We see our Habitat veterans continuing to serve by helping neighbors build homes, wheelchair ramps, and inspiring hope throughout their own communities,” says Michael Hyacinthe, Veteran Relations Associate at Habitat For Humanity, Kent County.

Community Involvement

The extent to which a person is strongly rooted within his or her neighborhood is considered an important marker of civic health because together these connections make up a strong community.

For veterans, community involvement is a critical component of successful reintegration. Transitioning out of the military is a significant life event that can alter veterans’ sense of purpose and social connectedness. Community involvement allows for the development of authentic connections and relationships during this time of transition and throughout one’s life.\(^2\)

An important measure of community involvement is the rate at which individuals work with neighbors to address community problems. 10.7% of veterans report working with neighbors to address community problems, as compared to just 7.6% of non-veterans. This trend is cross-generational—both young and older veterans (8.8% and 11.3%, respectfully) are more likely, compared to their peers, to cooperate and solve problems with neighbors.

Table 3. Veterans and Non-Veterans Working with Neighbors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Veterans</th>
<th>Non-Veterans</th>
<th>Young Veterans</th>
<th>Young Non-Veterans</th>
<th>Older Veterans</th>
<th>Older Non-Veterans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Worked with neighbors to solve problems in the community</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rate at which individuals attend public meetings is another measure of community involvement. Public meetings are dynamic platforms that have the potential to affect many other civic indicators. On average, 11% of veterans attend public meetings, compared to 8.2% of non-veterans. Older veterans are the most likely to attend public meetings, at a rate of nearly 12%.
“I learned many valuable life lessons during my service in the United States Air Force from 1994 to 2005. I learned the importance of having a place to call home. I learned that I can still have a positive impact on my community by continuing to serve it. And I learned that there are others just like me who want to continue to make the world a better place, not only for the hardworking people who live here now, but for generations to come. Today, I am able to apply each of these lessons in my work with San Diego Habitat, which offered me an opportunity to find empowerment and purpose after my military service.”

-Anne Marie Conte, San Diego Habitat for Humanity, Neighborhood Revitalization and Veteran Services Program Manager
Charitable giving is yet another measure of community involvement and is the most common form of participation of all the measures discussed thus far. On average, just over half of the population donates at least $25 to charity in a given year. Veterans donate at higher rates than non-veterans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gave to charity ($25 or more)</th>
<th>Veterans</th>
<th>Non-Veterans</th>
<th>Young Veterans</th>
<th>Young Non-Veterans</th>
<th>Older Veterans</th>
<th>Older Non-Veterans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Team Red, White & Blue**

Team Red, White & Blue (Team RWB) is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization founded in 2010 whose mission is to enrich the lives of America’s veterans by connecting them to their communities through physical and social activity. With over 111,000 members spread across 200 chapters, Team RWB delivers consistent, local opportunities for veterans and non-veterans to connect through weekly fitness activities, social events, local races, and more. Organizations like Team RWB are directly impacting social connectedness in small towns and big cities throughout the country and positively impacting civic health on an individual and community level. The ultimate aim of Team RWB’s community-building program is to bring veterans together with other veterans and civilian citizens in a way that enables them to establish authentic, lasting relationships.

**Political Engagement**

Political engagement represents individuals' attempts to influence local and national events and broader political outcomes. The most common form of political engagement is voting, but other non-electoral actions like discussing politics and interacting with public officials are also important components of political engagement.

Voting is considered by many to be the most basic form of civic engagement—it is the tool by which most Americans participate in the democratic process. Veterans are highly engaged in this area and are consistently avid participants in both local and national elections. Young veterans, in particular, show a high level of political engagement that outpaces their non-veteran peers.

Overall, veterans are more likely than non-veterans to vote (always or sometimes) in local elections. 73.8% of veterans as compared to 57.2% of non-veterans report voting always or sometimes in local elections. This indicates veterans’ high levels of attentiveness and involvement with what is happening in their local communities.

**Veterans Vote More**

73.8% of veterans always or sometimes vote in local elections, compared to 57.2% of non-veterans.
Finally, contacting or visiting a public official is an important non-electoral form of political engagement. This includes contacting or visiting officials at any level of government, to ask for assistance or to express an opinion.24 Again, veterans are much more engaged in this way than their non-veteran peers.

The frequency with which individuals discuss politics, government, and current events is another indicator of political engagement. Veterans are far more likely than non-veterans to discuss politics with their families and friends—36.4% of veterans frequently talk politics with family or friends, compared to 26.2% of non-veterans. This trend is consistent among young and older veterans alike, all of whom are more likely to discuss politics than their non-veteran peers.

Chart 2. Veterans and Non-Veterans Contacting Public Officials
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“The pool of talent that has spent time in the military has been through danger and has come out and is ready to continue to contribute.”

- George W. Bush
VETERANS CIVIC ENGAGEMENT: Local Snapshot

As we explore national trends, it is critical that we also drill down to local communities to understand how civic health and community engagement vary throughout the country.

Recently, America’s attention has turned to the city of Baltimore as protests, uprisings, political activism, and grassroots social movements are making national headlines. Baltimore is a city with a flourishing culture, but a history of unique civic challenges like economic disparity and racial inequality.

Baltimore has also become a touch point for relatively young and innovative veteran-led community initiatives. Veteran empowerment organizations like The 6th Branch, Student Veterans of America, The Mission Continues, Team RWB, and The Pat Tillman Foundation are all active in the Baltimore area. As these and other organizations grow in Baltimore, it is important to track trends in overall community engagement and civic health.

More research is needed to understand the many nuances of civic health in Baltimore. Tracking veteran civic engagement in this community is vital as we explore how veterans can enrich this city and be called upon to tackle the various civic challenges it faces.

The 6th Branch

The 6th Branch (T6B) is a nonprofit organization founded in Baltimore, Maryland, in 2010 by returning Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. T6B utilizes the leadership and organizational skills of veterans to execute aggressive community service initiatives in Baltimore City. Since early 2011, T6B has been committed to the revitalization of East Baltimore with emphasis on the Oliver, Johnston Square, and Darley Park communities.

T6B’s “Neighborscape” program strategically adopts and transforms abandoned and hazardous plots of land into community assets like parks, playgrounds, and gardens. Through this program, thousands of volunteers have removed more than 100 tons of trash and debris from illegal dumping, transformed a 40,000 square-foot plot of land into a vibrant urban farm that provides food security for some of Maryland’s most impoverished residents, transformed a once open-air heroin market into safe space for youth recreation, and much more.

T6B believes that veterans’ skills are ideal for the initiation and organization of such community projects. The organization is largely led by veterans but builds community by bringing together service-minded veterans and non-veterans to serve and transform Baltimore City.
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CIVIC LIFE OF BALTIMORE VETERANS

Key: ● Baltimore Veterans ○ Baltimore Non-Veterans

**Volunteer Rate**

- **Veterans**: 30.7%
- **Non-Veterans**: 27.2%

**Participated in Any Organization**

- **Veterans**: 46.3%
- **Non-Veterans**: 38.9%

**Service or Civic Association**

- **Veterans**: 60.2%
- **Non-Veterans**: 56.1%

**Worked with Neighbors to Fix a Community Problem**

- **Veterans**: 9.9%
- **Non-Veterans**: 8.1%

**Gave to charity ($25 or more)**

- **Veterans**: 20.7%
- **Non-Veterans**: 7.3%

**Contacted Public Officials**

- **Veterans**: 19.3%
- **Non-Veterans**: 12.6%

**Officer or member of committee for group or organization**

- **Veterans**: 13.7%
- **Non-Veterans**: 11.5%

**Gave to charity ($25 or more)**

- **Veterans**: 75.8%
- **Non-Veterans**: 61.2%

RECOMMENDATIONS

By bringing civic health to the forefront of conversations and strategies around veteran reintegration, we can empower veterans and strengthen communities across the nation. While it is critical for our country to fulfill its sacred trust to veterans, it is imperative that citizens also ask our veterans how they will continue to serve their communities and country. With that in mind, we offer the following recommendations.

Recommendations for Veterans

- **Engage in your community.** Being a leader is not always about starting a business, a nonprofit, or a community program; it is about showing up. Look for ways to participate in your local community, just like you did in your military unit. Connect with both veterans and non-veterans to form genuine relationships.

- **Seek out veteran empowerment organizations.** No matter your phase of transition, there are organizations led by people like you who need volunteers and leaders. Join them in service to your community and yourself. To view a list of such organizations, visit gotyour6.org/partners/nonprofit.

Recommendations for Non-Veterans

- **Challenge your perception of veterans.** Work to overcome the “broken hero” veteran stereotype by recognizing that veterans are as diverse as the nation they serve.

- **Have a conversation with a veteran.** There is no right or wrong way to speak to a veteran—they are people just like you. Veterans have stories to tell and experiences to share, so have a conversation that goes beyond “thank you for your service.”

- **Serve alongside veterans.** Through shared service, veterans and non-veterans can unite to make their communities stronger. Find volunteer opportunities with veteran empowerment organizations in your area.

Recommendations for Businesses

- **Hire veterans.** Don’t hire veterans simply to capitalize on hiring incentives; hire them because they are good for business. Employers seek employees with drive, leadership skills, tactical decision-making experience, and the ability to think on their feet to solve problems and get the job done. Veterans have been trained to do exactly that. Ensure that your job openings are visible to veterans—consider taking part in a veterans hiring fair or even organizing a hiring fair in your community.

- **Establish affinity groups.** Don’t stop at hiring veterans; invest in their long-term success by cultivating an inclusive culture. Affinity groups provide forums for employees to gather socially and share ideas. They not only provide an opportunity for veterans to identify themselves in the workplace (you may be working alongside a veteran and not even know it), but they give both veterans and civilians a chance to talk about the experience of serving our country.
Recommendations for Nonprofits

- **Recruit veteran volunteers.** An astounding 92% of veterans strongly agree or agree that serving their community is important to them. Give veterans the opportunities they desire—leverage the veteran population to sustain and strengthen the work your organization is engaged in every day in communities across the nation.

- **Collaborate with veteran-focused organizations.** Veterans return home to small towns and big cities across the country—it is rare that any one organization can reach veterans in every community. By collaborating and leveraging resources, nonprofit organizations can reach veterans more efficiently and effectively.

Recommendations for Media & Entertainment

- **Portray veterans reasonably and accurately in film and television, avoiding archetypal “heroic” and “broken” veteran characters.** Multi-dimensional, normalized depictions of veterans better represent the veteran population, positively contribute to the cultural narrative around veterans, and foster understanding among non-veterans.

- **Promote responsible news reporting.** Due in part to sensationalized reporting, Americans are likely to believe that the majority of veterans suffer from PTSD, are likely to be homeless, or are prone to violence. When reporting, it is important to avoid sweeping statements about the veteran population.

- **Include veteran perspectives whenever possible.** Veterans’ voices should not be limited to issues surrounding foreign policy or the Department of Veterans Affairs. As civic assets, veterans are engaged on all issues making headlines today. Veterans can add unique perspectives to any newsworthy discussion.

Recommendations for Policymakers

- **Recognize that veterans’ issues are not limited to the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).** Less than half of the veteran population is enrolled at VA; therefore, the congressional veterans’ affairs committees should not be the sole place for debate and discussion about veterans. Veterans are leaders in every sector and should be considered across the whole of government.

- **Focus on community-based approaches.** Veterans transition back to communities, not to government agencies. While the VA and other agencies have programs for veterans, policymakers can focus on public-private partnerships to better serve veterans while empowering them to strengthen communities.

- **Leverage proven solutions underway at the state and local levels.** Replicate and build on the innovative and proven solutions already implemented in localities across the nation. A comprehensive collection of state and local policy recommendations can be found at The Veterans Policy Leadership Institute.
Recommendations Specific to the 2016 Election Cycle

From the forests of Western Europe to the mountains of Afghanistan and the deserts of Iraq, over the decades U.S. service members have fought to liberate and bring democracy to hundreds of millions of people across the world. All Americans can honor the sacrifice of veterans by actively participating in our democratic process.

**Challenge To Veterans And The Military Community**

As veterans and civic assets, you have a responsibility to call the country to action this November by:

- **Participating in the electoral process**—whether by registering and committing to vote, volunteering for a campaign, volunteering at your local polling place, or running for state or local office.

- **Engaging candidates on policy issues** that impact the lives and welfare of veterans and military service members. Any candidate running for federal, state, or local office should be challenged to clearly define his or her policy stances on issues of importance to veterans.

- **Educating the country about the value of veterans as civic assets.** Veterans’ commitment to service has not faltered. It is time to change the narrative of the “broken” or “damaged” veteran by showcasing and highlighting actual veteran leaders serving their communities.

**Challenge To The American Public**

As American citizens, you have the right and responsibility to participate in the democratic process by:

- **Registering to vote now and voting in November.** Regardless of background or political leanings, all Americans can unite around a common goal of increasing political engagement across the country. As demonstrated in this report, political engagement is a critical component of overall civic health—without a broad level of involvement, the strength of our democracy is unsustainable. In November 2012, America’s voting turnout was a mere 57.5% of the eligible voting public. This year, we can and should do better.

- **Encourage public dialogue.** Challenge candidates to outline their plans for supporting and empowering our veterans. Catalyzing real debate on policy issues that impact the lives and welfare of veterans and military service members is a great way to champion that population.

- **Engaging your neighbors in the electoral process.** American democracy is premised on community-level strength. Talk to your neighbors about registering to vote, meet those running for local office, volunteer for a campaign or candidate, or carpool to your polling place this November.

To receive election reminders, get registered to vote, or apply for an absentee ballot, visit gotyour6.org/vote.
CONCLUSION

America’s veterans are a unique and often overlooked asset to this nation. The pride we as Americans take in our troops should be extended to the quarter of a million veterans who return to communities across the country each year. Veterans should be greeted not just with our thanks, but with our encouragement to continue their service to our nation in meaningful ways.

Due to their experience, training, and commitment to service, veterans are stepping up by providing solutions to some of our nation’s—and our world’s—most pressing challenges. Having served and sacrificed for our country, veterans remain strongly invested in communities, understanding that national and international progress begins at the local level.

If America holds high expectations for its veterans as it does its troops, and empowers veterans as they return home, veterans will be uniquely positioned to lead a resurgence of community across the country. So, instead of solely asking what we can do for veterans, let us also ask what veterans can continue to do for our communities.
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ENDNOTES

A WORD ABOUT RECOMMENDATIONS

NCoC encourages our partners to consider how civic health data can inform dialogue and action in their communities, and to take an evidence-based approach to helping our communities and country thrive. While we encourage our partners to consider and offer specific recommendations and calls to action in our reports, we are not involved in shaping these recommendations. The opinions and recommendations expressed by our partners do not necessarily reflect those of NCoC.

This report should be a conversation-starter. The data and ideas presented here raise as many questions as they answer. We encourage government entities, community groups, business people, leaders of all kinds, and individual citizens to treat this report as a first step toward building more robust civic health for veterans.
TECHNICAL NOTE

Unless otherwise noted, findings presented in this report are based on the Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning & Engagement (CIRCLE)’s analysis of the Census Current Population Survey (CPS) data. Any and all errors are our own. Volunteering estimates are from the CPS September Volunteering Supplement, 2013; voting and registration data come from the CPS November Voting/Registration Supplement, 2014; and all data on other civic engagement indicators come from the CPS Civic Engagement Supplement, 2013. Using a probability-selected sample of about 60,000 occupied households drawn from geographically based sampling units, the CPS collects monthly data on employment and demographic characteristics of the nation. Depending on the CPS supplement, the single-year CPS sample sizes for veterans included in this report were 3,538 (Civic Engagement Supplement); 7,640 (Volunteering Supplement); and 9,018 (Voting Supplement).

In order to get the demographic estimates of the veterans’ background, the sample data was weighted by the veteran weight, computed by the Census Bureau. The veteran weight is designed in such a way that estimates will accurately track the official statistics reported by the Department of Veterans Affairs. The comparative non-veteran sample demographics are estimated using the final population weight, also computed by the Census Bureau. For all estimates of civic health indicators, we use the specific weights computed for each supplement. These civic engagement weights account for non-response bias.

In this report, we include all veterans for demographic reporting. For age-specific, group-based reporting, we include veterans and non-veterans who are 20 years old and older. We did this because there are very few veterans who are 19 or younger. In this report, we made comparisons between veterans and non-veterans between ages 20 and 49, and comparisons between veterans and non-veterans aged 50 and older.

The Census CPS administers three different surveys from which civic indicators for this report are drawn. The September Volunteering Supplement—which is administered annually—includes questions about volunteering (e.g., frequency and types of volunteer work), community involvement, and charitable contribution. The Civic Engagement Supplement—which was administered annually between 2008 and 2011, and again in 2013—includes questions about political engagement (e.g., political discussion and voting in local elections), and social connection (e.g., favors for neighbors, seeing friends). Statistics about voter participation in national elections come from the Voting and Registration Supplement, which is administered in even years. The estimates are based on US citizens.

All surveys, including federal surveys, are subject to sampling error. Margin of error is influenced by multiple factors including sample size, estimate size, population size, and other parameters. Therefore, we do not report one margin of error across all indicators. With that said—due to the large sample sizes in this report (3,538 for the smallest supplement)—sampling error is quite small, within one to two percentage points. However, any analysis that breaks down the sample into smaller groups (e.g., gender, education) will have smaller samples, and therefore the margin of error will increase.
# CIVIC HEALTH INDEX

## State and Local Partnerships

NCoC began America’s Civic Health Index in 2006 to measure the level of civic engagement and health of our democracy. In 2009, NCoC was incorporated into the Edward M. Kennedy Serve America Act and directed to expand this civic health assessment in partnership with the Corporation for National and Community Service and the US Census Bureau.

NCoC now works with partners in more than 30 communities nationwide to use civic data to lead and inspire a public dialogue about the future of citizenship in America and to drive sustainable civic strategies.

## States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
<th>University of Missouri Kansas City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Alabama</td>
<td>McCormick Foundation</td>
<td>University of Missouri Saint Louis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Mathews Center for Civic Life</td>
<td></td>
<td>Washington University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn University</td>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>Indiana University Center on Representative Government</td>
<td>Nebraskans for Civic Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for the Future of Arizona</td>
<td>Indiana Bar Foundation</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Indiana Supreme Court</td>
<td>Carsey Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Forward</td>
<td>Indiana University Northwest</td>
<td>Campus Compact of New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Civic Education</td>
<td>IU Center for Civic Literacy</td>
<td>University System of New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Individual and Institutional Renewal</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>New Hampshire College &amp; University Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davenport Institute</td>
<td>Kansas Health Foundation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan State University of Denver</td>
<td>Commonwealth of Kentucky, Secretary of State’s Office</td>
<td>Siena College Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Civic Canopy</td>
<td>Institute for Citizenship &amp; Social Responsibility, Western Kentucky University</td>
<td>New York State Commission on National and Community Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Metro Chamber Leadership</td>
<td>Kentucky Advocates for Civic Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Compact of Mountain West</td>
<td>McShane Center, University of Louisville</td>
<td>North Carolina Institute for Emerging Issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History Colorado</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute on Common Good</td>
<td>Mannakee Circle Group</td>
<td>Miami University Hamilton Center for Civic Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Center for Civic Education</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyday Democracy</td>
<td>Common Cause-Maryland</td>
<td>University of Central Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of the State of Connecticut</td>
<td>Maryland Civic Literacy Commission</td>
<td>Oklahoma Campus Compact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DataHaven</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Center for Democratic Deliberation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut Humanities</td>
<td>Harvard Institute of Politics</td>
<td>National Constitution Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut Campus Compact</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>South Carolina University of South Carolina Upstate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Fund for Greater Hartford</td>
<td>Michigan Nonprofit Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Caspar Graustein Memorial Fund</td>
<td>Michigan Campus Compact</td>
<td>Texas The Annette Strauss Institute for Civic Life, University of Texas at Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wesleyan University</td>
<td>Michigan Community Service Commission</td>
<td>Virginia Center for the Constitution at James Madison’s Montpelier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>Volunteer Centers of Michigan</td>
<td>Colonial Williamsburg Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ServeDC</td>
<td>Center for Study of Citizenship at Wayne State University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>Economic Health Knight Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Joint Center for Citizenship</td>
<td>Center for Democracy and Citizenship</td>
<td>Corporation for National &amp; Community Service (CNCS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Graham Center for Public Service</td>
<td>Missouri State University</td>
<td>CIRCLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lou Frey Institute of Politics and Government</td>
<td>Park University</td>
<td>CIRCLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeorgiaForward</td>
<td>Center for Democracy and Citizenship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Vinson Institute of Government, The University of Georgia</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Family Connection Partnership</td>
<td>Park University</td>
<td>CIRCLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>Saint Louis University</td>
<td>CIRCLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Issue Specific

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latinos Civic Health Index</th>
<th>Millennials Civic Health Index</th>
<th>Economic Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carnegie Corporation</td>
<td>Mobilize.org</td>
<td>Knight Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Civic Health Index</td>
<td>Harvard Institute of Politics</td>
<td>Corporation for National &amp; Community Service (CNCS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Got Your 6</td>
<td>CIRCLE</td>
<td>CIRCLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atlanta</strong></td>
<td>Community Foundation of Greater Atlanta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chicago</strong></td>
<td>McCormick Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kansas City &amp; Saint Louis</strong></td>
<td>Missouri State University, Park University, Saint Louis University, University of Missouri Kansas City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miami</strong></td>
<td>Florida Joint Center for Citizenship, John S. and James L. Knight Foundation, Miami Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pittsburgh</strong></td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh, Carnegie Mellon University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seattle</strong></td>
<td>Seattle City Club, Boeing Company, Seattle Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Twin Cities</strong></td>
<td>Center for Democracy and Citizenship, Citizens League</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>University of Missouri Saint Louis</strong></td>
<td>John S. and James L. Knight Foundation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CIVIC HEALTH ADVISORY GROUP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Bridgeland</td>
<td>CEO, Civic Enterprises, Chairman, Board of Advisors, National Conference on Citizenship, Former Assistant to the President of the United States &amp; Director, Domestic Policy Council &amp; US Freedom Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristen Cambell</td>
<td>Executive Director, PACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Coates</td>
<td>Research and Evaluation Director, National Conference on Citizenship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lattie Coor</td>
<td>Chairman &amp; CEO, Center for the Future of Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan Dietz</td>
<td>Senior Research Associate, The Urban Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Dobson</td>
<td>Executive Director, Florida Joint Center for Citizenship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Domagail-Goldman</td>
<td>National Manager, American Democracy Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Douglas</td>
<td>Executive Director, Seattle CityClub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paula Ellis</td>
<td>Former Vice President, Strategic Initiatives, John S. and James L. Knight Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Galston</td>
<td>Senior Fellow, Brookings Institution, Former Deputy Assistant to the President of the United States for Domestic Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Bob Graham</td>
<td>Former Senator of Florida, Former Governor of Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Grimm, Jr.</td>
<td>Director of the Center for Philanthropy and Nonprofit Leadership, University of Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawn Healy</td>
<td>Resident Scholar, McCormick Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kei Kawashima-Ginsberg</td>
<td>Director, Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (CIRCLE) at the Jonathan M. Tisch College of Citizenship and Public Service at Tufts University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Levine</td>
<td>Director, Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (CIRCLE) at the Jonathan M. Tisch College of Citizenship and Public Service at Tufts University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Hugo Lopez</td>
<td>Director of Hispanic Research, Pew Research Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted McConnell</td>
<td>Executive Director, Campaign for the Civic Mission of Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha McCoy</td>
<td>President, Everyday Democracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Prewitt</td>
<td>Former Director of the United States Census Bureau, Carnegie Professor of Public Affairs and the Vice-President for Global Centers at Columbia University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Putnam</td>
<td>Peter and Isabel Malkin Professor of Public Policy, Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University, Founder, Saguaro Seminar, Author of <em>Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stella M. Rouse</td>
<td>Director, Center for American Politics and Citizenship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Sagawa</td>
<td>Chief Service Officer, National Conference on Citizenship, Co-founder, Sagawa/Jospin, LLP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilir Zherka</td>
<td>Former Executive Director, National Conference on Citizenship</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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